SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

				γ							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
LEIGHSA WILSON				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant							
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION O					TION OF T	НЕ	
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.				Attorneys (If Known)							
30 E. Butler Pike											
Ambler, PA 19002			-								
(215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place on "V"	n One Box Only)	III CI	TIZENSHIP O	E DI	RINC	IP A	I PARTIES	(Dless on "V" in	One Pay f	an Diainti 00
ii. Diisis of Jenish	Terrory (Flace all X	ii Olic Dox Olily)		(For Diversity Cases Or		XII (C)	*1 73	E i Ait i iio	and One Box		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	PT			Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		PTF	DEF 4
U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	σ	2 🗇	2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>D</b> 5
	(indicate Chizensin	p of T actios in term in)		en or Subject of a reign Country	0	3 🗖	3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box O		10	leigh Country							
CONTRACT	TOI		FC	DRFEITURE/PENALT	ſΥ		BANI	KRUPTCY	OTHE	R STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		0 Agriculture		☐ 422 A	Appea	I 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State I	Reapportion	ment
	<ul><li>310 Airplane</li><li>315 Airplane Product</li></ul>	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice		0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure		CJ 423 V		rawal C 157	10 Antitr		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 8		2	6 US	C 137	☐ 430 Banks ☐ 450 Comm	and Bankir nerce	ıg
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment		Product Liability		0 Liquor Laws				TY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Depor		
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.		☐ 820 C ☐ 830 F			470 Racke	teer Influen ot Organizat	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		0 Occupational		☐ 840 T			3 480 Consu		iions
	<ul> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product</li> </ul>	PERSONAL PROPER		Safety/Health					490 Cable/		
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	<ul> <li>345 Marine Product</li> <li>Liability</li> </ul>	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	I 69	0 Other LABOR	(4.50 kg)	SOC	IALS	SECURITY	810 Selecti 850 Securi		odities/
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal		0 Fair Labor Standards		□ 861 F	IIA (	1395ff)	Excha	nge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relation				Lung (923) /DIWW (405(g))	875 Custon	ner Challen C 3410	ige
	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt.Reportin				Fitle XVI	□ 890 Other		ctions
☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY	Injury	DD1CONID DETERMON		& Disclosure Act	L	□ 865 F			□ 891 Agricı	ıltural Acts	
	CIVIL RIGHTS  O 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITION  ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	, l			LTAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff		mic Stabiliz onmental M	
	442 Employment	Sentence		l Empl. Ret. Inc.	- 1	(	or Dei	fendant)	894 Energy	Allocation	Λct
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:  530 General		Security Act	ľ			Third Party C 7609	☐ 895 Freedo	ım of Inform	nation
	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		1	0 030	C 7003	☐ 900Appeal	of Fee Det	ermination
290 All Other Real Property		540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization Applica	ation				Under	Equal Acce	
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	<ul><li>550 Civil Rights</li><li>555 Prison Condition</li></ul>	46.	3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee					to Justi		of
	Other	·	□ 46:	5 Other Immigration	ļ				State S		
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions							
V. ORIGIN (Place a	n "X" in One Box Only)									Appeal to	District
№ 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 1 ate Court	Appellate Court	l 4 Reins Reop	ened an	other	rred fro district		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict 🗇 7	Judge from Magistrate Judgment	n
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	) N	tute under which you ar 10N 1692		Do not cite jurisdict	ional	statute	s un	less diversity):			<b>:</b>
	Brief description of ca Fair Debt Collec	use: ction Practices Ac	t								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DI	EMAND \$				IECK YES only RY DEMAND:	if demanded ir	ı complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)										
	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOC	KET	NUMBER			WIII. 11.
Explanation:				1							
			1	A							
DATE	NEAR THE RESERVE TO T	SIGNATURE OF	ATTOR	NEY ØF RECORD	)						
12/23/11			1/	//							

# Case 2:11-cv-07@XITED SDATES DISTRICTED COLUMN Page 2 of 9

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 1312 Winstead Place, Greensboro, NC	~ 27408
Address of Defendant: 507 Productial Road, Horsham, P	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No □
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes No M
<ol><li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?</li></ol>	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes No V
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes No W
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individuals
The second second of the problem of the second second of the second seco	Yes No No
	ies Noti
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation
4. ☐ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please
	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7.  Products Liability
8.   Habeas Corpus	8.  Products Liability — Asbestos
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9.   All other Diversity Cases
10.  Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15082 \$1492 (Please specify)	(i lease speeny)
ARBITRATION CERTIF	
I,	;
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	elief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 12/23/11	57100
Attorney-at-Law	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.# has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or wi	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
DATE: 12/13//	5710 <i>0</i>
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
CDV (00 ((100)	•

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

Leighsa Wilson	<b>:</b>	CIVIL ACTION	
v.	:		
NCO Financial System	ns, Inc.	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Cariling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designer as copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance	y Reduction Plan of this court, counse gnation Form in all civil cases at the time See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenot agree with the plaintiff regarding see, submit to the clerk of court and serve cack Designation Form specifying the tagned.	ne of verse said
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a decising in the requesting plaintiff Social Security	on of the Secretary of Health y Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	aired to be designated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ring claims for personal injur		( )
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into trac s complex and that need spec- side of this form for a detailed	ial or intense management by	
management cases.)	sad of and form for a double.	<del>-</del>	( )
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into ar	y one of the other tracks.	( )
Z/23/   Date	Craig Ther Kimmel Attorney-at-law	Leighsa Wilson Attorney for	
215-540-8888	877-788-2864	Kimmel @ Creditlaw.co	m
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 LEIGHSA WILSON, 5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiff Case No.: v. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

#### **COMPLAINT**

LEIGHSA WILSON ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
  - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Greensborro, North Carolina 27408.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Also, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the debt arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in or around May 2011, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on her home telephone on a continuous and repeated basis in an attempt to collect a debt of another person.
- 14. Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, at least two (2) times a day, sometimes calling her as frequently as four (4) times a day.
- 15. Also, Defendant left messages on her home answering machine in its attempts to collect a debt.
  - 16. Defendant identified the debtor as being someone Plaintiff did not know.

- 17. It was inconvenient for Plaintiff to receive debt collection calls to her home telephone for another person.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiff on a continuous and repetitive basis with the intent of harassing Plaintiff into paying a debt of another person.
- 19. Further, on or about November 11, 2011, Defendant falsely reported a debt on Plaintiff's credit report for a debt that she did not owe.
- 20. Defendant reported that Plaintiff owed \$391.00 for an account no. "2416xxxx" and that the account had been opened on October 1, 2011.
- 21. Plaintiff disputes owing this debt, as this is not her account and she never obligated herself to pay this alleged debt.

#### **CONSTRUCTION OF LAW**

- Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 23. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be

construed liberally in favor of the consumer." <u>Johnson v. Riddle</u>, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

24. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 25. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
  - b. Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff more than once about another person's debt;
  - c. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff at a time or place that it knew or should have known was inconvenient;

- d. Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff about another person's debt;
- e. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA when it harassed, oppressed or abused Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;
- f. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA when caused Plaintiff's phone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiff;
- g. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA when it used false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with its attempt to collect a debt;
- h. Defendant violated §1692e(8) of the FDCPA when it communicated to any person credit information which it knew or should have known was false; and
- i. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it used unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LEIGHSA WILSON, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, LEIGHSA WILSON, demands a jury trial in

this case.

Date: 12/23/11

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID No. 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>